

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	D.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/809,009		03/16/2001	Jean-Marc Ascione	05725.0634-00	5103
22852	7590	08/13/2003			
	AN, HEN	DERSON, FARAE	EXAMINER		
	REET, NW		ELHILO, EISA B		
WASHIN	WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			•	1751	
				DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/809,009	ASCIONE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Eisa B Elhilo	1751					
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicated in the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30)  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuse.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set of extended period for reply set of extended period for reply set of extended period for extended period for extended period for extended period f	CATION.  I 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nication.  days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC will, by statute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>28 May 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2l	b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-65 is/are pending in the ap							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restricti Application Papers	on and/or election requirement.						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by	the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have been received.						
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have been received in	Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	•						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)	Friend, middle of Store						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Page	O-948) 5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 18					

Application/Control Number: 09/809,009

Art Unit: 1751

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1 This action is responsive to the remarks filed on May 28, 2003.
- The rejection of claims 14 and 34 under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, is withdrawn based on the applicant's remarks and supported documents that provided by the applicant.
- The terminal disclaimed filed on 7/23/2003 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of the co-pending application No. 09/809,007, has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.
- The rejection of claims 1-6 and 8-65 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over de la Mettrie et al. (US 5,989,295) in view of (XP-000934522), is maintained for the reasons set forth in the office action in paper No. 13, dated on 2/14/2003.
- The rejection of claim 7 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over de la Mettrie et al. (US 5,989,295) in view of (XP-000934522) and further in view of de la Mettrie et al. (US 5,976,195) is maintained for the reasons set forth in the office action in paper No. 13, dated on 2/14/2003.

## Response to Applicant's Arguments

6 Applicant's arguments filed 5/28/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the rejection based upon de la Mettrie (US' 295) in view of (XP'-522),

Applicant argues that there is no suggestion or motivation to combine the teachings of the references.

: نو ا Application/Control Number: 09/809,009

Art Unit: 1751

The Examiner respectively disagrees with the above arguments because the primary reference of de la Mettrie (US' 295) teaches clearly a hair dyeing composition comprising at least one anionic polymer (see col. 1, lines 9-10), which implies that more than one anionic polymer may be presented in the composition. Further, De la Mettrie teaches that the presence of the polymers in the dyeing composition prevent the composition from running out of the hair and make the composition maintains its gelled nature and thus remains better localized at the point of application (see col. 1, lines 52-62) and (XP' 522) as a secondary reference teaches in another analogous art of cosmetic formulation anionic polymers used to provide viscosity enhancement and clarity (see p. 1552, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph), and, thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to be motivated to use the polymers that taught by (XP' 522) in the hair dyeing composition of De la Metirre (US' 295) for viscosity enhancement and clarity. Therefore, the prima facie case of obviousness has been established.

With respect to the rejection based upon de la Mettrie (US' 295) in view of (XP'-522) and de la Mettrie (US' 195).

Applicant argues that there is no suggestion or motivation to combine the teachings of the references.

The examiner respectfully, disagrees to the above arguments for the same reasons stated above.

The examiner advised the applicant to provide a data of showing to indicate that the claimed composition demonstrates unobvious and unexpected results over the composition of the closest prior art of record.

Art Unit: 1751

7 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eisa B Elhilo whose telephone number is (703) 305-0217. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F (7:30-5:00) with alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (703) 308-4708. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Elhilo

July 29, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700